ASSOCIATION INTERNATIONALE DES ÉTUDES DU SUD-EST EUROPÉEN

ACTES

DU II^o CONGRÈS INTERNATIONAL DES ÉTUDES DU SUD-EST EUROPÉEN

(Athènes, 7-13 mai 1970)

TOME II
HISTOIRE

TIRAGE A PART

GENGIZ ORHONLU

THE HISTORY OF ATHENS (TÂRIKH-I MEDÎNETÜ'L-HUKEMÂ) WRITTEN BY A TURKISH KADI

ATHÈNES 1972

O.B.NO: 06420 YERNO: 06412



ÇEKÜL KÜTÜPHANESİ

DEMİRBAŞ NO.

ab420

SINIFLAMA NO.

016412

BAĞIŞCI

GELİŞ TARİHİ

ASSOCIATION INTERNATIONALE DES ÉTUDES DU SUD-EST EUROPÉEN

ACTES

DU II^e CONGRÈS INTERNATIONAL DES ÉTUDES DU SUD-EST EUROPÉEN

(Athènes, 7-13 mai 1970)

TOME II
HISTOIRE

TIRAGE A PART

GENGIZ ORHONLU

THE HISTORY OF ATHENS (TÂRIKH-I MEDÎNETÜ'L-HUKEMÂ) WRITTEN BY A TURKISH KADI

ATHÈNES 1972

THÈME - CADRE 2:

VILLE ET CAMPAGNE DANS LE SUD-EST EUROPÉEN DEPUIS L'ANTIQUITÉ JUSQU'À LA FIN DU XIX® SIÈCLE (ASPECTS SOCIAUX, ÉCONOMIQUES ET CULTURELS)

II. COMMUNICATIONS

THE HISTORY OF ATHENS (TÂRIKH - I MEDÎNETÜ'L - HUKEMÂ) WRITTEN BY A TURKISH KADI

Ottoman historiography has been divided into different sections, one of which is concerned with the history of cities: in other words, urban historiography. More than one history has been written about cities like Istanbul, Bursa, Edirne, Amasya, Konya, Isparta, Belgrade, Baghdad, Aleppo, etc. When the work of classification in Turkish libraries has been more or less completed, a further number of works are likely to be brought to light. A manuscript about the city of Athens was recently discovered at the Library of Topkapi Saray Museum (Revan, No. 1411). It bears the title of Târikh-i Medînetü'l hukemâ, an English translation of which reads "The History of the City of Wise Men". This designation has been repeatedly quoted by mediaeval Moslem historians in references to the city of Athens. The work was composed in the Ottoman period — after the year 1738.

While describing some details about his personal life, the author of this work does not unfortunately mention his name. He originally belonged to a family which lived in Thebes (Istefe) and the island of Euboea (Egriboz). When he was officially appointed *kadi* of Athens, his family were still living in Euboea (MS. 267). He began his education under the guidance of learned members of his family — an education that was nevertheless inadequate in comparison with the corresponding standard of education prevailing in Istanbul. According to the author,

^{1.} This work was published with a short commentary (Topkai Sarayi Müzesi kütüphanesi Türkce yazmalar katalogü, Istanbul 1961, Vol. I. p. 326) by Fehmi Ethem Karatay, who mistakenly believed $Tarikh-i\ Medinetü'l'Hukemî$ to be a translation. The author of Tarich-i-Medînetül'-Hukema, however, states (p. 2a, 8a, 127a, 147a, 229b, etc.) that his work is a compilation, except the last section (MS. 262 b-291) which is an eye-witness account by the author.

the ultimate aim of education must be ato spread knowledge, that is to say, ato write works and disseminate knowlege». In order to realise this aim and to find means of benefiting from the opportunities offered by a higher education, he moved to Istanbul, probably in 1672. He succeeded in entering one of the Fatih Medreses, and he spent twelve years in a student hostel. At the Fatih medrese he obtained all the advantages offered by a higher education, being instructed by most eminent and learned scholars of the Ottoman world. He specialized in Islamic law, the interpretation of the Holy Qur'an (Tefsîr) and the interpetation of traditions by the Prophet (Hadish); he also attended some lectures on mathematics. His education lasted twelve years, but he stayed in Istanbul for sixteen years, and was married during this period (MS. 266 a-b). After completing his education he sat for an examination, usually set for all similar applicants, in order to obtain a post for a kadilik (judgeship). According to his own account, the manner he adopted when answering the questions was so rude that, although he succeeded in passing the examination, he lost the chance of being appointed kadi (judge) in the city of Athens, where there was a vacancy at the time (MS. 267 a). He liked Athens and its people very much indeed after a while, and served there for a period of twenty-seven years. His appointment to Athens took place in 16882, when the city was under siege by the Venetians who eventually occupied it for six months3.

He began his career after the Venetian occupation and held his post until the year 1715, when the Ottomans set out to regain the Morea from the Venetians. It is through the official documents of the time that we are able to learn the author's name. According to one document, dated 10th September 1713 (Sha'ban 19, 1125 Hegira), the name of the *kadi* (judge) of Athens is mentioned as Mahmud Efendi. He was appointed to supervise an endowment (evkaf), established by Ali Pasha in Nauplia (Anabolu), after the capture of the city from the Venetians.

^{2.} His predecessor in the *kadilik* of Athens was probably Abdullah Efendi (Başbakanlık Arşıv Genel Müdürlügü, Ibnü'l-emin tasnifi-Evkaf kismi, No. 478, 29th January, 1697).

^{3.} The Venetians besieged the city in February (the 11th), 1688 (Venetian occupation of Athens, 1687-1688, *Istoria di Cristoforo Ivanovich*, edited by J a m e s M o r t o n P a t o n, Cambridge 1940, p. 39). The Ottoman sources - Rashid and Salikhdar - do not give the date.

^{4.} Başbakanlık Arşiv Genel Müdürlügü, Ibnulemin tasnifi-Evkaf kismi, No 7393.

This Ali Pasha happened to be the Grand Vizier and the commander-in-chief of the Ottoman army in the Morea. In spite of his responsibilities as *kadi* of Athens (MS.2a), Mahmud Efendi was able to carry out this additional function in a very successfuly manner, and in 1738 he was living in Nauplia under the protection of Mehmed Pasha, Muhsinzâde, who was later appointed Grand Vizier⁵. Mahmud Efendi was probably *kadi* of Nauplia, the seat of administration of the provice of the Morea, at the time.

According to his own statement, Mahmud Efendi translated some works from Arabic into Turkish, such as Tuhfetü'l-tüccar and Tuhfetü'l-guzât in the year 1710, when he was kadi of Athens, but he does not name the authors whose works he translated. It is not possible to follow the course of the later part of his life (after 1738), as there is no further reference to him in the sources. In the bibliographical 6 and biographical 7 works there is no mention of either Târikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemâ or its author. Nor, in fact do his translations seem to exist in Turkish libraries.

Mahmud Efendi began to write the History of Athens, that is to say Tārikh-i Medīnetü'l-hukemā, in 1714-1715. He first tried to identify the references and collate material for his work. Two priests in Athens helped to obtain information about the ancient and mediaeval history of Greece. According to Mahmud Efendi, one of them was the head of a religious association comprising four hundred churches and ten monasteries. The prelate in question was probably an archbishop. His name and that of the other priest were Kolari (or Kolarides) and Sotirides (MS 2.a.). The sources used by Mahmud Efendi for the ancient and mediaeval history of Athens were written in Greek, Latin and other languages. The two priests translated the sources into modern Greek for him before the year 1714, and another man, probably a Greek, whose name is not mentioned by the author, translated the works from modern Greek into Turkish. Mahmud Efendi first corrected the Turkish translation made by the Greek, and then used it for his work (Ms.4.a.).

^{5.} He held this post twice (in 1765-68 and 1771-74).

^{6.} Bagdadli Ismail Paşa, Kesfü'z-zunûn zeyli, vols. I-II, Istanbul 1947.

^{7.} Bursali Tahir, Osmanli muellifleri, vols. I-III, Istanbul 1333-1342.

^{8. «}in or about Athens were 200 Greek churches (most of which have been Temples) but not one quarter of them were used between 1671-1679» (see B. R a n-d o l p h, *The Present State of the Morea*, London 1686, p. 23).

^{9.} The Archbishop of Athens lived in a house in the south-west part of the town (B. Randolph, *ibid.*, p. 22).

Although he began to write his work, Târikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemâ, after 1714-1715, he did not find an opportunity to complete it, on account of the Turco-Venetian war in 1715, and because he afterwards paid two visits to Istanbul and made a pilgrimage to Mecca. It seems, however, that he kept a draft copy for himself. It was only after 1738, when encouraged by Mehmed Pasha, Muhsin-zâde, the governor of the fortresses of Nauplia, who later became Grand Vizier, that he succeeded in completing Târikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemâ.

The only extant manuscript is in the Revan Library in Topkapi Saray Museum (No. 1411). The 291 pages of this work can be divided into three parts:

- 1. A history of Athens, the island of Euboea and the Morea.
- 2. A history of these localities during the Ottoman period.

3. Special information regarding the condition of ancient Greek monuments in the city of Athens between 1688 and 1715. The author provides some details about Greek architectural monuments. These references were undoubtedly very important for scholars who happened to be interested in them¹⁰.

Pages 8.a-245.b of *Târikh-i medînetü'il hukemâ* deal with the foundation of Athens, the old city and the Athenian city-state, as well as the period of Alexander the Great and his successors and the Roman and Byzantine periods. From p. 245 to the end of the work (MS. 291 b) the author covers the Turkish period. The manuscript ends in 1715. When describing certain events in the history of Athens, it should be noted that Mahmud Efendi makes several references to Istanbul. For instance, accounts about the building of the city of Istanbul and the Church of St. Sophia among others occupy a considerable portion of the work.

Although Mahmud Efendi used the sources translated by Kolarides and Sotirides regarding ancient and mediaeval history, he also mentions historians from whom he did not directly borrow material. The historians whom he mentions are Thucydides, Plutarch and Diodorus. He does, not, however, make any reference to the names of minor Latin writers (MS. 4. a-b.). His reference to «some French sources» remains obscure. One of these references, for instance, concerns Alexander the Great, whose reign is dealt with in the works of Moslem historians, such as Ibn Asakir (1105-1176), Ibn Kesir (1301-1373) (MS. 205. b). On cer-

^{10.} For the descriptions of Turkish Athens see James Morton Paton, Chapters on Mediaeval and Renaissance visitors to Greek Lands, Princeton-New Jersey 1951, p. 3-19, 36-73, 155-172.

tain other points he also consults the *Tefsîr* of Ebu's-Suud, the Grand Mufti (1490-1574) and the *Tefsîr* of Kadi Keyza (? -1291).

Menakib-i Mahmud Pasa, an anonymous work written towards the end of the 15th century and the work of Lâmii Celebi (1472-1532) 11 on the Ottoman-Venetian war during the reign of Bayazit II are used for the Turkish period. Works by 16th century Ottoman historians could also be added to this list. But unexpectedly, without following a chronological order in the description of events, the author jumps forward to the end of Mehmet IV's reign (1648-1687) — more precisely, to the vear 1687, when Mehmet IV was dethroned and the Venetians occupied Athens. Henceforward, the author, being a witness of events that occurred in Athens, Euboea and the Morea until 1715, gives a personal account of them. The Turkish period occupies one sixth of the whole manuscript (p. 245-291). In this section the manuscript contains descriptions of the siege of Athens by the Venetians, the destruction of the Parthenon (MS. 262. a), the recapture of Athens by the Ottomans and the struggle waged by the Ottomans between 1688 and 1699 to recapture territories under Venetian occupation (MS. 262. a-266.a). From that point until the end of the work the author deals with the Ottoman-Venetian war of 1715. Consequently, this section is more detailed and contains more original information than is found in the special chronicles (Vekayi-names) about the Morea¹².

In conclusion, *Târikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemâ* is of interest to schollars for the following reasons:

- 1. While serving as a Turkish *kadi* in Athens, Mahmud Efendi developed an interest in the history of the city and produced a work covering the entire period until his own times;
- 2. For the description of the condition of the ancient Greek architectural monuments of the city during the period 1688-1715;
- 3. Because the author's description of events during the period 1688-1715 constitutes an eye-witness account and may therefore be regarded as an invaluable source of information.

One final comment: the manuscript is not complete, owing to the loss of a number of pages.

[Pl. XLVI — XLIX].

^{11.} In the tailpiece to the translation of *Şevahidü'n-Nübüvve* (MS. 257. b). This work was originally written in Persian by Molla Câmi (1414-1492).

^{12.} Nadir, Fetih-nâme-i Mora; Vâhid Mahtûmî, Mora Fetih-nâmesi (See Sevin Ungün, Vâhid Mahtûmî ve Mora Fetih-namesi, «Tarih Dergesi» 20 (1965), p. 101-116, 21 (1966), p. 63-76, 22 (1968), p. 169-180). The reconquest of the Morea by the Ottomans in 1715 is described in these works.

لكروافيا ولوب وكندوفرا والقيوب شيداقا وجمة المتعطمه شويله بوغرتلي وجسور وزيريواناز انجاق جيعي بالمدسوه ظنئ فالبروكنذ وؤاى فيخلخ كمفه كمسنك ذابيله حوكت ايخرزي وموره مربيا فتح اولندخنده كمذور فرور وكالمطارع اولوب وغاست مظشر وسنأك اولدوغنك فأوب ناسدان يحبتى دفع اولنوب عمدعال حلاكى أستدعا الدورج وتكهنوو وتكرلع خصى ولدر ربالعالين أولامول متعالضمنا ومعزق القلوب دعالويني فبولا للذي زيرا افامرت بروزيري فتل لدكده بيلاجأ توابع وأولادوا نسابار اول وزيرق وسندن انتفا ايدرلرد كاول انتفاج تعليم اولدقده جمله منكئانكسارى محتفقد والمتاعيلوندنر برفلي عرق بولنوردعا ميضولا ولورو ومويشهدع بامثايفا يت مغرول يدك بوندك اقدم إوج داند جهانكيسلطا للركدبري استانبول فاتعي أولاعناتم اكبيلطان محدين سلطان مزدفنا وبري دخيسلطان كاولياسلطا بايزيدين سلطا محدخان وبوي يج سلطة ساوطين سلطة سايم بن سلطة صاحبة إ سليخاطاب تزاعرا لتيستن صندده عقربوي مرا ولحدا

ايدوب وبعده استأنية دخول اروب وقرنه وار التغاده اغامي لميكا غارفتال ووجوخذ بناد قضابا فدعدا غاء وداول اسلميرا فندئ وسلجي سمار باشاية ووود سدة وخربلويه سفك دم آبله علوب عبادي وتعيق فارب استه محيني مرفوع اولوب وحول شناكي فيمانلري اطاف وكناف منتشر فلنوب وخاملوشتا اولوب وكورفس لمجن دنغاصكم تذارك اولنوب وكورفس منري ليعانسة متعاول المنءوره فتى شنكى بجون نجير كمدن فهاومولنه الكروس فالم محظوظ اولوب زباره متطك اتمذي ديوطبع صاحب دولت كولنوب وبعفر اوضاعاردى ايواد اولنوب وكورض سغرنيدقره مصطغ با برعشكرامدوب ودننما يكودفسه ارسال المعوب وعظيغ ورتهوا بلدانكروص ودرينه بريثنا سغاديصبوا بابدولتكك انكروس دشمخ نقدد قوي دشمن اولدغى بعلناد وحسيادت ايدي نخجه قوي دشمندرد بنحكف إبدوب واستماع اخمدوب ولبغراد التذن فهثو كجلسون ديوسو بليناوي منصوبليندن غ لايوب ونين باقت كموب وكمالغ ودنداء بأيننو

ASSOCIATION INTERNATIONALE DES ÉTUDES DU SUD-EST EUROPÉEN

ACTES

DU II^e CONGRÈS INTERNATIONAL DES ÉTUDES DU SUD-EST EUROPÉEN

(Athènes, 7—13 mai 1970)

TOME II
HISTOIRE

TIRAGE A PART

GENGIZ ORHONLU

THE HISTORY OF ATHENS (TÂRIKH-I MEDÎNETÜ'L-HUKEMÂ) WRITTEN BY A TURKISH KADI

ATHÈNES 1972

THÈME - CADRE 2:

VILLE ET CAMPAGNE DANS LE SUD-EST EUROPÉEN DEPUIS L'ANTIQUITÉ JUSQU'À LA FIN DU XIX° SIÈCLE (ASPECTS SOCIAUX, ÉCONOMIQUES ET CULTURELS)

II. COMMUNICATIONS

THE HISTORY OF ATHENS (TÂRIKH - I MEDÎNETÜ'L - HUKEMÂ) WRITTEN BY A TURKISH KADI

Ottoman historiography has been divided into different sections, one of which is concerned with the history of cities: in other words, urban historiography. More than one history has been written about cities like Istanbul, Bursa, Edirne, Amasya, Konya, Isparta, Belgrade, Baghdad, Aleppo, etc. When the work of classification in Turkish libraries has been more or less completed, a further number of works are likely to be brought to light. A manuscript about the city of Athens was recently discovered at the Library of Topkapi Saray Museum (Revan, No. 1411)¹. It bears the title of Târikh-i Medînetü'l hukemâ, an English translation of which reads "The History of the City of Wise Men". This designation has been repeatedly quoted by mediaeval Moslem historians in references to the city of Athens. The work was composed in the Ottoman period — after the year 1738.

While describing some details about his personal life, the author of this work does not unfortunately mention his name. He originally belonged to a family which lived in Thebes (Istefe) and the island of Euboea (Egriboz). When he was officially appointed *kadi* of Athens, his family were still living in Euboea (MS. 267). He began his education under the guidance of learned members of his family — an education that was nevertheless inadequate in comparison with the corresponding standard of education prevailing in Istanbul. According to the author,

^{1.} This work was published with a short commentary (Topkai Sarayi Müzesi kütüphanesi Türkce yazmalar katalogü, Istanbul 1961, Vol. I. p. 326) by Fehmi Ethem Karatay, who mistakenly believed Tarikh-i Medinetü'l'Hukemi to be a translation. The author of Tarich-i-Medinetü'l'-Hukema, however, states (p. 2a, 8a, 127a, 147a, 229b, etc.) that his work is a compilation, except the last section (MS. 262 b-291) which is an eye-witness account by the author.

the ultimate aim of education must be ato spread knowledge, that is to say, ato write works and disseminate knowlege». In order to realise this aim and to find means of benefiting from the opportunities offered by a higher education, he moved to Istanbul, probably in 1672. He succeeded in entering one of the Fatih Medreses, he spent twelve years in a student hostel. At the Fatih medrese he obtained all the advantages offered by a higher education, being instructed by most eminent and learned scholars of the Ottoman world. He specialized in Islamic law, the interpretation of the Holy Qur'an (Tefsîr) and the interpetation of traditions by the Prophet (Hadish); he also attended some lectures on mathematics. His education lasted twelve years, but he stayed in Istanbul for sixteen years, and was married during this period (MS. 266 a-b). After completing his education he sat for an examination, usually set for all similar applicants, in order to obtain a post for a kadilik (judgeship). According to his own account, the manner he adopted when answering the questions was so rude that, although he succeeded in passing the examination, he lost the chance of being appointed kadi (judge) in the city of Athens, where there was a vacancy at the time (MS. 267 a). He liked Athens and its people very much indeed after a while, and served there for a period of twenty-seven years. His appointment to Athens took place in 16882, when the city was under siege by the Venetians who eventually occupied it for six months3.

He began his career after the Venetian occupation and held his post until the year 1715, when the Ottomans set out to regain the Morea from the Venetians. It is through the official documents of the time that we are able to learn the author's name. According to one document, dated 10th September 1713 (Sha'ban 19, 1125 Hegira), the name of the *kadi* (judge) of Athens is mentioned as Mahmud Efendi. He was appointed to supervise an endowment (evkaf), established by Ali Pasha in Nauplia (Anabolu), after the capture of the city from the Venetians.

^{2.} His predecessor in the *kadilik* of Athens was probably Abdullah Efendi (Başbakanlık Arşıv Genel Müdürlügü, Ibnü'l-emin tasnifi-Evkaf kismi, No. 478, 29th January, 1697).

^{3.} The Venetians besieged the city in February (the 11th), 1688 (Venetian occupation of Athens, 1687-1688, *Istoria di Cristoforo Ivanovich*, edited by J a mes Morton Paton, Cambridge 1940, p. 39). The Ottoman sources - Rashid and Salikhdar - do not give the date.

^{4.} Başbakanlık Arşiv Genel Müdürlügü, Ibnulemin tasnifi-Evkaf kismi, No 7393.

This Ali Pasha happened to be the Grand Vizier and the commander-in-chief of the Ottoman army in the Morea. In spite of his responsibilities as *kadi* of Athens (MS.2a), Mahmud Efendi was able to carry out this additional function in a very successfuly manner, and in 1738 he was living in Nauplia under the protection of Mehmed Pasha, Muhsinzâde, who was later appointed Grand Vizier⁵. Mahmud Efendi was probably *kadi* of Nauplia, the seat of administration of the provice of the Morea, at the time.

According to his own statement, Mahmud Efendi translated some works from Arabic into Turkish, such as Tuhfetü'l-tüccar and Tuhfetü'lguzât in the year 1710, when he was kadi of Athens, but he does not name the authors whose works he translated. It is not possible to follow the course of the later part of his life (after 1738), as there is no further reference to him in the sources. In the bibliographical and biographical works there is no mention of either Târikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemâ or its author. Nor, in fact do his translations seem to exist in Turkish libraries.

Mahmud Efendi began to write the History of Athens, that is to say Tārikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemā, in 1714-1715. He first tried to identify the references and collate material for his work. Two priests in Athens helped to obtain information about the ancient and mediaeval history of Greece. According to Mahmud Efendi, one of them was the head of a religious association comprising four hundred churches and ten monasteries. The prelate in question was probably an archbishop. His name and that of the other priest were Kolari (or Kolarides) and Sotirides (MS 2.a.). The sources used by Mahmud Efendi for the ancient and mediaeval history of Athens were written in Greek, Latin and other languages. The two priests translated the sources into modern Greek for him before the year 1714, and another man, probably a Greek, whose name is not mentioned by the author, translated the works from modern Greek into Turkish. Mahmud Efendi first corrected the Turkish translation made by the Greek, and then used it for his work (Ms.4.a.).

^{5.} He held this post twice (in 1765-68 and 1771-74).

^{6.} Bagdadli Ismail Paşa, Kesfü'z-zunûn zeyli, vols. I-II, Istanbul 1947.

^{7.} Bursali Tahir, Osmanli muellifleri, vols. I-III, Istanbul 1333-1342. 8. «in or about Athens were 200 Greek churches (most of which have been

^{8. (}in or about Athens were 200 Greek churches (most of which have been Temples) but not one quarter of them were used between 1671-1679» (see B. R and olph, *The Present State of the Morea*, London 1686, p. 23).

^{9.} The Archbishop of Athens lived in a house in the south-west part of the town (B. Randolph, *ibid.*, p. 22).

Although he began to write his work, Târikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemâ, after 1714-1715, he did not find an opportunity to complete it, on account of the Turco-Venetian war in 1715, and because he afterwards paid two visits to Istanbul and made a pilgrimage to Mecca. It seems, however, that he kept a draft copy for himself. It was only after 1738, when encouraged by Mehmed Pasha, Muhsin-zâde, the governor of the fortresses of Nauplia, who later became Grand Vizier, that he succeeded in completing Târikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemâ.

The only extant manuscript is in the Revan Library in Topkapi Saray Museum (No. 1411). The 291 pages of this work can be divided into three parts:

- 1. A history of Athens, the island of Euboea and the Morea.
- 2. A history of these localities during the Ottoman period.
- 3. Special information regarding the condition of ancient Greek monuments in the city of Athens between 1688 and 1715. The author provides some details about Greek architectural monuments. These references were undoubtedly very important for scholars who happened to be interested in them¹⁰.

Pages 8.a-245.b of *Târikh-i medînetü'il hukemâ* deal with the foundation of Athens, the old city and the Athenian city-state, as well as the period of Alexander the Great and his successors and the Roman and Byzantine periods. From p. 245 to the end of the work (MS. 291 b) the author covers the Turkish period. The manuscript ends in 1715. When describing certain events in the history of Athens, it should be noted that Mahmud Efendi makes several references to Istanbul. For instance, accounts about the building of the city of Istanbul and the Church of St. Sophia among others occupy a considerable portion of the work.

Although Mahmud Efendi used the sources translated by Kolarides and Sotirides regarding ancient and mediaeval history, he also mentions historians from whom he did not directly borrow material. The historians whom he mentions are Thucydides, Plutarch and Diodorus. He does, not, however, make any reference to the names of minor Latin writers (MS. 4. a-b.). His reference to «some French sources» remains obscure. One of these references, for instance, concerns Alexander the Great, whose reign is dealt with in the works of Moslem historians, such as Ibn Asakir (1105-1176), Ibn Kesir (1301-1373) (MS. 205. b). On cer-

^{10.} For the descriptions of Turkish Athens see James Morton Paton, Chapters on Mediaeval and Renaissance visitors to Greek Lands, Princeton-New Jersey 1951, p. 3-19, 36-73, 155-172.

tain other points he also consults the *Tefsîr* of Ebu's-Suud, the Grand Mufti (1490-1574) and the *Tefsîr* of Kadi Keyza (? -1291).

Menakib-i Mahmud Pasa, an anonymous work written towards the end of the 15th century and the work of Lâmii Çelebi (1472-1532) 11 on the Ottoman-Venetian war during the reign of Bayazit II are used for the Turkish period. Works by 16th century Ottoman historians could also be added to this list. But unexpectedly, without following a chronological order in the description of events, the author jumps forward to the end of Mehmet IV's reign (1648-1687) - more precisely, to the vear 1687, when Mehmet IV was dethroned and the Venetians occupied Athens. Henceforward, the author, being a witness of events that occurred in Athens. Euboea and the Morea until 1715, gives a personal account of them. The Turkish period occupies one sixth of the whole manuscript (p. 245-291). In this section the manuscript contains descriptions of the siege of Athens by the Venetians, the destruction of the Parthenon (MS. 262. a), the recapture of Athens by the Ottomans and the struggle waged by the Ottomans between 1688 and 1699 to recapture territories under Venetian occupation (MS. 262. a-266.a). From that point until the end of the work the author deals with the Ottoman-Venetian war of 1715. Consequently, this section is more detailed and contains more original information than is found in the special chronicles (Vekayi-names) about the Morea¹².

In conclusion, *Târikh-i Medînetü'l-hukemâ* is of interest to schollars for the following reasons:

- 1. While serving as a Turkish *kadi* in Athens, Mahmud Efendi developed an interest in the history of the city and produced a work covering the entire period until his own times;
- 2. For the description of the condition of the ancient Greek architectural monuments of the city during the period 1688-1715;
- 3. Because the author's description of events during the period 1688-1715 constitutes an eye-witness account and may therefore be regarded as an invaluable source of information.

One final comment: the manuscript is not complete, owing to the loss of a number of pages.

[Pl. XLVI — XLIX].

11. In the tailpiece to the translation of *Şevahidü'n-Nübüvve* (MS. 257. b). This work was originally written in Persian by Molla Câmi (1414-1492).

^{12.} Nadir, Fetih-nâme-i Mora; Vâhid Mahtûmî, Mora Fetih-nâmesi (See Sevin Ungün, Vâhid Mahtûmî ve Mora Fetih-namesi, «Tarih Dergesi» 20 (1965), p. 101-116, 21 (1966), p. 63-76, 22 (1968), p. 169-180). The reconquest of the Morea by the Ottomans in 1715 is described in these works.

لند الدرات الغاليان والصاوه والسالام على سولنا مدواله واصحالجمعين ويجدد كثيرالتقصير ويجدد كثيرالتقصير فليرا ببضاعة المبتليغين اندوالا الولايار عباد المناولان الرقال المنافرة المناولان الرقال المنافرة المنافرة المنافرة والمنافرة س وافتها ولوب وكندوفرا والقيوم بشبيدا والخادعة المدعليه شويلد برغرتلى جوك وجسود وزيريونناذ اغاقجيع عالمرسو وظنى غالم وكندوراي وعلية كمف كمسنك والبيل حوكت إثمزي وموده مربعافية اولندغندا فكذور فرور وكرعظ بطاري اولوب وغاست محتشر وسنأك اولدوغنك فأدب ناسدن عيبتي دفع اولنوب جلدعالم صلوكي أستدعا الدورج وأكار مزور وتنكرك خصي وليد دررة العالمين أولام وإمتعال ضعنا ومعتق القلوب دعالويني فبول المذي ديرا افام بنبه بروزيرى فتلا بلدكده ببلدما توابع واولادوانسابلر اول وزيرفتوسندك انتفا الدرلرد كاول انتفاع فتلج أولدقده جمله منكئ تكساري محفقد دالة اليجاردن برقلي عرف بولنوردعا سيقول ولوروع وعويثهدي بامثايفا يت مغرودابدن بوندك اقدم اوج داند جمانكرسلطا للركد بري استامنول فانخي اوكاعاتم اكبيلطان محدبن سلطان مادغا وبري دخوسلفان كاولياسلطا بايزيدين سلطا يحدخان وبوي يج ملأساه طس سلطا سيراب سلطا صاحبان لمغظاب تزاه الكي بترسنده هري براولعرا

ايدوب ويعده استأنيه دخول احاوب وقرفيه وار التغاده اغامي ليكالغاج فتلادوب وخذيناد قضابا فدعدا خاء وداول اسليرافندئ وملجي سال باشاية ورودسده وغير لرده سفك دم يلدعلوب عبادي مزق فلدي ويتبع فلوب ناسدنه محينى مرفوع اولوب وسووه شناكى فعانلزي اطاف وكناف منتشر فلنوب وخاصارشتا اولوب وكورفس كين دنغاصكم تدارك اولنوب وكورفس خري لنفاسه متعاول كين موره فتى شنكي بيون نجيد سكيدن فهاومولنه الكروس فاليعظوظ اولوب زباره مشكك اتمذي ويوطبع صاحب دولت كولنوب وبعفر اوضاعارد خايوله اولنوب وكورض سغربيدتره مصطغ بايرم عسكرابدوب ودننها يكودفسه ارسال ابعوب وعظيغ ورتهوا بلجانكروس اودريبه بريثا سغاد يصبوا رابد وامتكاه انكروس دشمخ يغدد قوي دشمن اولدغى ببلناد وحبسادت ليرتخفه فوي دشميندو ينحاكفرا يدوب واستماع الغيود والخاد التذن فهثوكج لمسوق ديوسو لميناري منصوليندن غزا يوب ونعن باقب كمبوب وكمالغ ودنداء بالأخو